FOR THE RECORD

Bryan W. Forward,¹ M.S.; Mark W. Eastman,² M.S.; Thomas B. Nyambo,³ M.D.; and Ruth E. Ballard,¹ Ph.D.

AMPF/STR[®] Identifiler[™] STR Allele Frequencies in Tanzania, Africa

POPULATION: Identifiler—Employees and students of Muhimibili University College of Health Sciences in Dar es Salaam representing 19 widely distributed administrative districts and 42 tribes within the country.

KEYWORDS: forensic science, DNA identification testing, short tandem repeats, Identifiler, paternity testing, D3S1358, vWA, FGA, D8S1179, D21S11, D18S51, D5S818, D13S317, D7S820, D16S539, THO1, TPOX, CSF1PO, D2S1338, D19S433, Tanzania

Allele frequencies of the fifteen AMPLF/STR[®] Identifiler[™] STR loci were determined for the population of Tanzania, East Africa. Two hundred and seventy-two saliva samples were gathered from unrelated healthy student or employee volunteers at Muhimbili University College of Health Sciences in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania during the summer of 2003. The tribal affiliation of each donor was recorded to ensure that representative sampling was achieved, and a total of 19 widely distributed administrative regions of the country and 42 tribes were represented in the final genotypic data. DNA was extracted using the QIAamp spin column protocol for saliva (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and quantitated using the Quantiblot® colorimetric system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Approximately 1 ng of DNA was amplified from each sample using the Identifiler[™] system (Applied Biosystems) and subjected to capillary electrophoresis on a 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Raw data was collected, sorted, and sized using ABI Gene Collection® and Genescan[®] software (Applied Biosystems), and alleles were assigned using ABI Genotyper[®] software (Applied Biosystems).

Allele frequencies were calculated using GENEPOP[©] online software, v. 3.4 (1). Table 1 lists the frequencies of the alleles at each locus, indicates the total number of samples used to generate the table, and provides the database validation statistics.

A Fisher Exact Test was used to test adherence to Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) at all loci (2,000 shufflings, GENEPOP[©] online software, v 3.4). Twelve of the 15 loci yielded *p*-values of >0.05, and thus failed to reject the hypothesis that the population is in HWE at these loci. The remaining three loci, D8S1178, D3S1358, and D2S1338, yielded *p*-values <0.05; however, a truncated product test for adherence of all loci yielded a *p*-value of 0.0654, thus validating the database for general use (2).

The complete dataset is available upon request through electronic mail from the corresponding author at ballardr@csus.edu and on

the corresponding author's academic webpage at http://www.csus.edu/indiv/b/ballardr/index.htm.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the California State University, Sacramento Research and Creative Activities Program (03-147-BG-SF2AT6) and by a National Science Foundation CCLI Adaptation and Implementation award (0088863). Special thanks to Lauren Baughman and Lydia Carrona-Craig for their technical assistance in extracting DNA samples at MUCHS, and to Mary Hansen, Supervising Criminalist for the Sacramento County District Attorney's Laboratory of Forensic Sciences, for her advice and assistance in this study.

Additional thanks to Louisa Jospehine Mwanjesa for her help in identifying the tribal associations of MUCHS donors.

Capillary gel electrophoresis was performed on a 310 Genetic Analyzer housed in the CIMERA facility at California State University, Sacramento.

References

- Chang T, Hendrie R, Abercrombie-Higgins J, Maddocks R, Morgan E. Genepop, Version 3.4, 2004. Free program distributed by authors over the internet from http://genepop.curtin.edu.au/. Accessed 22 Jan. 2006.
- Zaykin D, Zhivotovsky L, Weir BS. Exact tests for association between alleles at arbitrary numbers of loci. Genetica 1995;96:169–78.

Additional information and reprint requests: Ruth E. Ballard, Ph.D. Associate Professor of Biological Sciences California State University, Sacramento 6000 J. Street Sacramento, CA 95819-6077 E-mail: ballardr@csus.edu

¹Department of Biological Sciences, California State University, Sacramento, CA.

²Sacramento County Laboratory of Forensic Services, Sacramento, CA.

³Department of Biochemistry, Muhimbili University College of Health Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.

FGA n = 225								0.0022	0.0067	0.0044 0.0556	0.02 0.0444	0.0667	0.1778 0.1778 0.1556 0.2111	0.1089	0.0622 0.0333 0.0044 0.0044	0.0022 0.0022 0.0067	0.0044
D5S818 n = 225	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0022\\ 0.0022\\ 0.0844\\ 0.0378\end{array}$	0.0756	$0.2022 \\ 0.38$	0.2067	0.0067	0.0022											
D18S51 n = 225		0.0067	0.006/ 0.0156 0.0333	0.0333	0.0067 0.0622	0.1289	0.1956	0.0022 0.1867	0.0022 0.1444	0.0956	0.04	0.0267	0.0067 0.0022				
TPOX n = 169	0.0976 0.0148 0.2367 0.284	0.0858	0.2574 0.0178	0.0059													
vWA n = 225			0.0044	0.0089	0.06	0.2422	0.2622	0.14	0.1733	0.0778	0.0244	0.0044	0.0022				
D19S433 n = 172	0.0087	0.0116	0.0727 0.1076	0.0430 0.2645	0.0814 0.2238	0.0523	0.0058	0.0203	0.0029								
D2S1338 n = 172							0.061	0.0756	0.0552	0.1773	0.0669	0.186	0.1512 0.0814 0.0465	0.061	0.0233 0.0145		
D16S539 n = 172	0.0291 0.2122	0.1599	0.3401 0.1366	0.1163	0.0058												
D13S317 n = 225	0.0067 0.0133	0.0267	0.3067 0.4044	0.1956	0.0467												
THO1 n = 172	0.1424 0.3663 0.2326 0.2006	18c0.0															
D3S1358 n = 225			0.0044	0.0067	0.0644	0.2333	0.4267	0.1956	0.0622	0.0067							
CSF1P0 $n = 172$	0.0436 0.0552 0.0669	0.2878	$0.1919 \\ 0.2791$	0.061	0.0087	0.0058											
D7S820 $n = 225$	0.0044 0.0022 0.1956 0.1156	0.3911	0.1956 0.0822	0.0011 0.0022													
D21S11 n = 224													3700 0	0,000	0.0022 0.0022 0.0804 0.2567 0.192	0.1272 0.0134	0.01101 0.0022 0.0536 0.0201
D8S1179 n = 225		0.0044	$0.0489 \\ 0.1267$	0.2067	0.2978	0.2067	0.0978	0.0111									

FGA n = 225	0.0022 0.0067 0.7538 0.8667 0.8726 0.8756 0.9638 0.6875 0.6875 0.6875
D5S818 n = 225	0.8337 0.7244 0.7577 0.8581 0.4942 0.7234 0.01111 this locus.
D18S51 n = 225	0.4634 0.8844 0.8844 0.9634 0.9635 0.8584 0.6852 0.8584 0.0111
TPOX $n = 169$	0.6227 0.8107 0.7796 0.8887 0.8887 0.7452 0.7452 0.0148 mum allele f
vWA n = 225	0.2209 0.8311 0.8126 0.9298 0.5786 0.787 0.0111
D19S433 n = 172	0.9407 0.8837 0.8837 0.8453 0.8455 0.8455 0.9445 0.9445 0.0445 0.829 0.0145 0.0145
D2S1338 n = 172	0.0195 0.8895 0.8809 0.9688 0.7042 0.8695 0.0145 0.0145
D16S539 n = 172	 2 00268 2 00023 0.0032 0.0032 0.0032 0.0022 0.0022 0.0022 0.0023 0.5869 0.5869 0.580 0.5803 0.8873 0.7777 0.8837 0.8873 0.8873 0.8873 0.8873 0.8873 0.8873 0.8873 0.8873 0.9477 0.8844 0.7777 0.8837 0.8810 0.5626 0.0358 0.8873 0.7779 0.8837 0.8810 0.8669 0.8198 0.7478 0.7797 0.8837 0.8126 0.8873 0.9477 0.8837 0.8126 0.8744 0.7717 0.8665 0.7475 0.8877 0.9638 0.8742 0.8872 0.9445 0.9519 0.9445 0.9538 0.9546 0.7455 0.7455 0.7455 0.7456 0.9668 0.7445 0.9663 0.9445 0.9564 0.9563 0.9576 0.8587 0.9663 0.9445 0.9564 0.8587 0.9663 0.7452 0.9663 0.7452 0.7452 0.7452 0.9663 0.7455 0.7455 0.7455 0.7455 0.7455 0.7455 0.9664 0.7455 0.9664
D13S317 n = 225	0.6276 0.7378 0.7010 0.742 0.4192 0.4192 0.6487 0.6487 0.0111
THO1 n = 172	0.0824 0.8198 0.7478 0.8498 0.4804 0.7072 0.0145 0.0145
D3S1358 $n = 225$	0.0358 0.6800 0.7171 0.8023 0.4394 0.6747 0.6747 0.0111
CSF1P0 $n = 172$	0.6626 0.8023 0.7892 0.8968 0.5411 0.7591 0.0145 ty; PD, powet
D7S820 $n = 225$	0.8680 0.7777 0.7502 0.8487 0.8487 0.4837 0.4837 0.7144 0.0111
D21S11 $n = 224$	0.0268 0.0089 0.0022 0.0379 0.0022 0.0022 0.0022 0.0022 0.8438 0.8438 0.8438 0.8438 0.8438 0.8438 0.8438 0.8537 0.9510 0.8537 0.0112 exact test; H,
D_{8S1179} n = 225	0.0223 0.8400 0.7977 0.9063 0.5544 0.7687 0.7687 0.01111 0.01111
Allele	33.2 34.2 35.3 35 36 36 36 43.2 44.2 44.2 44.2 44.2 7 44.2 P H(exp) PD PIC PIC PIC

TABLE 1—continued.